

FLOW-INJECTION SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC DETERMINATION OF TETRACYCLINE AND DOXYCYCLINE IN PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATIONS USING CHLORAMINE-T AS OXIDIZING AGENT

José L. Rufino, Patrícia L. Weinert, Helena R. Pezza e Leonardo Pezza*

Instituto de Química de Araraquara, Universidade Estadual Paulista, CP 355, 14801-970 Araraquara - SP, Brasil

Recebido em 6/8/08; aceito em 5/3/09; publicado na web em 4/8/09

FLOW-INJECTION SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC DETERMINATION OF TETRACYCLINE AND DOXYCYCLINE IN PHARMACEUTICAL FORMULATIONS USING CHLORAMINE-T AS OXIDIZING AGENT. A flow-injection (FI) spectrophotometric procedure is proposed for tetracycline (TC) and doxycycline (DXC) determination in pharmaceuticals. The method is based on the reaction of oxidation of these drugs by chloramine-T in alkaline medium producing red color products ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 535$ and 525 nm). Beer's law is obeyed in the concentration range from 6.62×10^{-5} to 7.72×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ and 5.37×10^{-5} to 7.16×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ for TC and DXC, respectively. The analytical frequency was 50 h⁻¹ and 45 h⁻¹ for TC and DXC, respectively. The results obtained by the proposed method were in good agreement with those obtained by the official method at 95% confidence level.

Keywords: tetracyclines; flow-injection; spectrophotometry.

INTRODUCTION

Tetracyclines (TCs) represent a class of antibacterial compounds with broad spectrum antibiotics for their high activity against nearly all gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Due to their broad antibacterial spectrum and economic advantages, TCs have been commonly used in human pathologies as well as in veterinary medicine, animal nutrition and feed additives for cattle growth. It is used for many different infections, such as respiratory tract infections, urethritis and severe acne. It also has a role in the treatment of multidrug resistant malaria.¹⁻⁴

Owing to their extensive use in infectious diseases therapy, there have been several analytical methods reported for their determination, both in pharmaceutical formulations and biological samples (fluids and tissues) including spectrophotometry,⁵⁻⁸ capillary electrophoresis,⁹ chemiluminescence,¹⁰ fluorimetry,¹¹⁻¹³ NIR,¹⁴ and HPLC.¹⁵⁻²¹ Most of these methods require elaborate instrumentation or have a low sampling frequency.

The official monographs of the United States Pharmacopoeia²² describe methods for the determination of tetracycline (TC) and doxycycline (DXC) in pharmaceutical formulations using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), but each compound requires different conditions for determination. The biological assay proposed by the British Pharmacopoeia²³ is time-consuming and not suitable for routine analysis.

Since the conventional spectrophotometric methods for tetracyclines determinations are tedious and time consuming, flow injection analysis (FIA) methods with chemiluminescence,²⁴⁻²⁷ amperometric²⁸⁻³⁰ and spectrophotometric³¹⁻³⁴ detection have been employed instead.

The automated flow injection analysis systems with spectrophotometric detection present as main disadvantages the relatively high cost of reagents,³² very low sampling rate³⁴ and low selectivity.^{31,33} The methodologies that employ flow systems with amperometric²⁸⁻³⁰ and chemiluminescence detection,²⁴⁻²⁷ despite their high analytical sensitivity, present the disadvantages of relative high cost²⁸⁻³⁰ as well as the use of oxidizing reagents which are expensive²⁵ or highly toxic.^{26,27}

Visible absorption spectrophotometry was applied in pioneering works on flow injection analysis.^{35,36} Through all the thirty-three years of development of FIA, UV-vis spectrophotometry has been and currently is the most common detection used in FIA.³⁷ UV-Vis

spectrophotometry is the technique of choice for FIA pharmaceutical applications, as it offers the advantages of simple and low cost instruments that are available at all laboratories.^{37,38}

The main purpose of this work was to develop a simple, fast and low-cost flow injection procedure for the quantification of tetracycline and doxycycline based on the spectrophotometric detection of the colored products formed by the oxidation of these drugs with chloramine-T (CAT) in alkaline medium. The resulting colored products of the abovementioned reaction of tetracycline or doxycycline with CAT are measured at 535 and 525 nm, respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL

Apparatus

A Gilson Minipuls 3 peristaltic pump (Gilson, Villiers-le-Bel, France) multichannel peristaltic pump was employed for fluid propelling. Samples and working standard solutions were inserted in the flow system with the aid of three-piece manual injector-commutator. A spectrophotometer USB 4000 (Ocean Optics, Dunedin, USA) equipped with a flow-cell of 10 mm path length and 80 μ L inner volume was used for the continuously monitoring of the absorbance.

The samples were pumped through Tygon[®] pumping tube of 1.85 mm i.d., sodium carbonate solution was pumped through Tygon[®] pumping tube of 1.02 mm i.d. and CAT solution pumped through Tygon[®] pumping tube of 2.06 mm i.d. The sample loop and reaction coils were made of polytetrafluoroethylene tubes (PTFE) of 0.8 mm i.d.; and the confluences in acrylic. End-fittings and connectors (Omnifit, New York, USA) were used.

A thermostatic bath equipped with a PT-100 temperature sensor having a resolution of 0.1 °C and a N322-T digital temperature controller was used for heating.

Reagents and analytical solutions

High purity deionized water (resistivity 18.2 M Ω cm) obtained by using a Milli-Q Plus system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA) was used throughout. All reagents were of analytical grade. The excipients used in the interference study were of pharmaceutical grade.

Stock solution of sodium carbonate (E. Merck, Darmstadt, Ger-

*e-mail: pezza@iq.unesp.br

many) 1.0% (w/v) was prepared in water and working solutions were prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solution.

Solutions of chloramine-T trihydrate (J.T.Baker, Mexico, purity Active Chlorine 13%) 4.0 and 4.5% (m/v) were prepared in water; the solution was stable for a period of 2 weeks when refrigerated at 4 °C.

Pharmaceutical grade tetracycline hydrochloride was purchased from Henrifarma (Brazil, purity 99.3%) and standard solution was daily prepared as 1.10×10^{-3} mol L⁻¹ in water; doxycycline hydrochloride was purchased from Deg (Brazil, purity 99.0%) and standard solution was daily prepared as 8.95×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ in water. Working standard solutions (6.62×10^{-5} to 6.62×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ of TC and 5.37×10^{-5} to 6.27×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ of DXC) were prepared separately by appropriate dilution of the standard solutions in water.

Optimization of variables

The optimization of all variables was performed by using a multivariate methodology. The variables were studied first using fractional factorial design and subsequently the two most significant variables were studied using the response surface methodology.³⁹ All statistical calculations were performed using Statistic 6.0 software.

Recommended procedure

The FIA system is depicted in (Figure 1). At the position specified in the figure, a sample volume is selected by the loop (L). After loop-based injection, the TC or DX solution is loaded via the porter (H₂O) and merged at the confluent point with Na₂CO₃ solution and alkalized inside the reactor coil (R1), subsequently oxidized by chloramine-T inside the reactor coil (R2), which was heated in a water bath, forming red color products and finally passed through reactor coil (R3), which was cooled in an ice bath. Passage of the colored compound through the flow injection spectrophotometric cell at room temperature, results in a transient signal that is recorded as absorbance (535 nm for TC or 525 nm for DXC) which is proportional to the tetracycline or doxycycline content in the sample. After absorbance maximum measurement, the manual injector–commutator is switched back to the initial position starting another cycle.




Figure 1. Flow diagram of the FIA system used. IC: injector–commutator; L: sampling loop; S: sample or analytical solutions; Φ1: flow at 1.4 mL min⁻¹ (sample loader); Φ2: flow at 0.6 mL min⁻¹; Φ3: flow at 1.7 mL min⁻¹; R1 and R2: coiled reactor (0.8 mm i.d.); R3: cooling coil (0.8 mm i.d.); ●: confluent points; PP: peristaltic pump; D: detector; W: wastes. Black area: next position of the injector–commutator. Black arrow pointing downwards indicates the movement of the central part of the IC

Samples preparation

Two commercial samples of pharmaceutical formulations (capsules) containing 500 mg of tetracycline hydrochloride and three containing 100 mg of doxycycline hydrochloride from different batches and different brands were purchased in local drugstores in Araraquara city, (Brazil) and analysed by the proposed method.

Ten tablets (or capsules) of each commercial brand pharmaceutical to be studied were weighed exactly; the tablets were grounded to a fine powder and homogenized. A portion of this powder equivalent to approximately 25 mg of drug was accurately weighed. The sample was dissolved with 25 mL of water, transferred to a 50 mL volumetric flask and the volume was completed with water. In the sequence, this solution was filtered through Whatman 41 filter-paper. The first few milliliters of the filtrate were discarded and an aliquot of 4.0 mL of the filtrate was transferred to a 10 mL volumetric flask and the volume was completed with water. All samples were analyzed according to the recommended procedure.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary tests

Chloramine-T (CAT; sodium *N*-chloro-*p*-toluenesulfonamide) is a valuable reagent for the determination of many organic compounds of therapeutic interest. Its use depends mainly on its ability to cause oxidation of diverse functional groups in both acidic and alkaline media.⁴⁰⁻⁴³

In the literature is described a method in batch for determination of tetracycline with chloramine-T and gallocyanine. The method is indirect and involves the addition of excess CAT of a known concentration in the presence of HCl and the determination of the unreacted CAT by measurement of the decrease in the absorbance of the dye gallocyanine.⁴⁴

Preliminary experimental results revealed that the reaction of TC and DXC with CAT in an alkaline medium of Na₂CO₃ produces a red color product at room temperature. Full color development took 15 min for TC and 60 min for DXC. However, the speed of the reaction can be increased with heating and the color developed more rapidly. The Figure 1S (Supplementary Material), shows the spectra of the reactions of tetracycline and doxycycline with CAT in a medium of Na₂CO₃ after heating for five minutes to the 65 °C obtained in batch.

Optimization of variables

Due to the fact that more than one variable is potentially important, and that it would be difficult to optimize the conditions through a uni-variant optimization procedure, the experimental conditions were obtained using a chemiometric experimental design. Factorial designs are widely used in experiments involving several factors where it is necessary to investigate the effects of the factors on a response variable.

The fractional factorial designs are among widely used types of designs in the screening experiments. These are experiments in which many factors are considered with the purpose of identifying these factors that have large effects. The screening experiments are usually performed early in a response surface study when it is likely that many of the factors initially considered have little or no effect on the response.³⁹

Initially the influence of the variables (loop-size of sample, flow rate, temperature, the reactor size of R1 and R2, CAT and Na₂CO₃ concentration) were examined by 2⁽⁷⁻³⁾ fractional factorial design. The

size of coil R3 was fixed at 50 cm having as its objective only the cooling of the product suppressing the micro blisters formed during the heating. In this design, each variable was studied in two levels: low (-1) and high (+1). For this design, 16 experiments were necessary, which were realized in triplicate and randomized to eliminate environmental variation. The variables and their levels are summarized in Table 1. The highest and lowest values of each variable were defined based on preliminary experiments. The flow rate was studied by varying the rotation speed of the peristaltic pump.

Table 1. Nominal values of each variable corresponding to levels studied

Variables	Tetracycline		Doxycycline	
	Low level	High level	Low level	High level
Na ₂ CO ₃ % (m/v)	0.20	0.30	0.15	0.20
CAT % (m/v)	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.50
Loop-size (cm)	50	80	80	110
Reactor 1 (cm)	10	15	10	15
Reactor 2 (cm)	200	250	250	280
Temperature (°C)	65	70	65	70
Peristaltic pump (rpm)	7.5	10	7.5	10

The results are represented in the Pareto chart (Figures 2 and 3), which is a horizontal bar-chart. The length of each bar on the chart and their signs are proportional to the absolute value of its associated estimated effect or the standardized effect. Signs positive indicate that the absorbance is increased with increase of the value of the respective variable within the range studied, while signs negative indicate what the absorbance is favored with decreased of the variable. The chart includes a vertical line that corresponds to the 95% limit indicating statistical significance. An effect is therefore significant if its corresponding bar crosses this vertical line.




Figure 2. Pareto chart for visualizing the effects of the FI and chemical variables on the absorbance measurements using 2⁽⁷⁻³⁾ factorial design for optimization of tetracycline

The Figure 2, shows the Pareto-chart for the TC, where the variables temperature, reactor-size of R1, R2 and CAT concentration are considered not to be significant and these variables were adjusted to a high level (+1); the flow rate not significant with negative sign adjusted to a low level (-1). The variables loop-size and Na₂CO₃ concentration were significant at the 95% confidence level. Hence, these effects were studied more carefully by response surface methodology in five levels including four central points for statistical validity within the range -1.41 to +1.41, which corresponds to the loop with a size range of 85 to 115 cm and Na₂CO₃ with a concentration range 0.12 to 0.25 % (m/v).

However, the Figure 3, shows that for DXC, only the reactor R1 is considered not to be significant and fixed in the level (-1). The loop-size has a small effect positive and was considered not to be significant, being adjusted in the level more high. The flow rate has an effect negative, but for obtains reasonable analytical frequency, the flow rate was chosen in the low level (-1).




Figure 3. Pareto chart for visualizing the effects of the FI and chemical variables on the absorbance measurements using 2⁽⁷⁻³⁾ factorial design for optimization of doxycycline

In the sequence, the variables (temperature, the reactor size of R2, CAT and Na₂CO₃ concentration) were optimized using now experimental design 2⁴⁻¹ factorial. The Figure 4, shows the effect of each variable correspondent to the response at 95% confidence level. It can be observed in this figure that the temperature and Na₂CO₃ concentration are the variables most significant. The individual effects of the reactor-size R2 and CAT concentration also were significant, but less important; due the positive sign were fixed in the level more high.

Afterwards, the variables most significant (temperature and Na₂CO₃ concentration) were optimized by response surface methodology. These variables were studied in five levels including four central points for statistical validity within range -1.41 to +1.41, which corresponds to the temperature with range of 75 to 80 °C and Na₂CO₃ with a concentration range 0.12 to 0.25% (m/v).

The Figures 2S and 3S, depict the surfaces response, showing the optimum conditions of the variables for TC and DXC, respectively. It is possible to identify that the points referring to the best conditions were: loop of 103 cm and Na₂CO₃ concentration equal to 0.18% (m/v) for TC (Figure 2S); temperature of 77.5 °C and Na₂CO₃ concentration equal to 0.20% (m/v) for DXC (Figure 3S).

The responses of the models R² values were greater than 0.95, implying that the models were well fitted by the data for the absorbance response (peak height). In Table 2, the optimum values of all the variables studied are shown.




Figure 4. Pareto chart for visualizing the effects of the FI and chemical variables on the absorbance measurements using $2^{(4-1)}$ factorial design for optimization of doxycycline

Table 2. Optimization of chemical and flow injection parameter for the analysis of TC and DXC

Parameters	Optimum value	
	Tetracycline	Doxycycline
Na ₂ CO ₃ % (m/v)	0.18	0.20
CAT % (m/v)	4.00	4.50
Loop-size (cm)	103	110
Reactor 1 (cm)	10	10
Reactor 2 (cm)	250	280
Temperature (°C)	70.0	77.5
Peristaltic pump (rpm)	7.5	7.5

Analytical data

The developed analytical method was validated by evaluating the following parameters:^{45,46} linear dynamic range, precision, accurate, ruggedness, limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ), as well as by applying the standard addition technique.

Under optimized experimental conditions, the analytical curves were constructed in triplicate. Table 3 contains the figures of merit for the proposed method for the determinations of TC and DXC. The analytical curves obtained showed good linearity within the range of concentrations used. The linear data were adjusted by equation $A = a + b [C]$, where, A is the absorbance, a is the intercept, b is the slope

and $[C]$ is the drugs concentration in mol L⁻¹. The typical transient signals, in triplicate, corresponding to a linear analytical curve for drugs and samples were showed in the Figures 4S and 5S.

The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were calculated according to Skoog *et.al.*,⁴⁶ using the following expressions: $LOD = 3\sigma/b$ and $LOQ = 10\sigma/b$, where σ is the standard deviation of twenty measures of the blank and b is the slope of the analytical curves. The results are summarized in Table 3.

The precision and accuracy were evaluated by comparing the results obtained from the analysis of pharmaceutical formulations by the proposed method with those obtained using the official HPLC methods.²² The results are summarized in Table 4.

The susceptibility of the developed analytical method to changes was tested to evaluate the ruggedness of the method. For this purpose, the two experimental designs were employed where the variables were tested.

The matrix interference can introduce systematic errors on the analytical determination. In order to investigate the presence of matrix effects on the proposed method, a recovery study was realized. In this study, 1.04×10^{-4} , 2.08×10^{-4} , 3.15×10^{-4} , 4.20×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ of tetracycline reference solutions were added separately to each sample of tetracycline, and 8.68×10^{-5} , 1.74×10^{-4} , 2.61×10^{-4} , 3.47×10^{-4} mol L⁻¹ of doxycycline reference solutions were added separately to each sample of doxycycline. The recoveries obtained by the standard addition method ranged from 96.7 to 102.3% indicating the absence of significant matrix effects on the proposed FI procedure.

The effects of the common excipients present in commercial pharmaceutical formulations such as: microcrystalline cellulose, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose, lactose, titanium dioxide, starch, talc, sodium lauryl-sulphate, sodic croscarmellose and magnesium stearate were carefully evaluated. It was exhibited that the presence of the abovementioned excipients up to 1 or 10-times of the weight ratio to tetracycline or doxycycline showed no interference effects for determination of these drugs.

Analytical applications

The applicability of the proposed method for the determination of TC and DXC in commercial dosage forms was examined by analyzing marketed products. The results were statistically⁴⁷ compared with those obtained by the official methods of the US Pharmacopeia²² and are summarized in Table 4. In all cases, the calculated t and F values are less than the theoretical ones at 95% confidence level, indicating that there is no significant difference between either methods concerning precision and accuracy in the determination of TC and DXC in pharmaceutical formulations. The flow system proposed provides a sampling frequency of 50 and 45 samples h⁻¹ for TC and DXC, respectively.

Table 3. Analytical characteristics of the proposed method

Parameters	Doxycycline	Tetracycline
λ (nm)	525	535
Linear dynamic range / mol L ⁻¹	5.37×10^{-5} to 7.16×10^{-4}	6.62×10^{-5} to 7.72×10^{-4}
Limit of detection / mol L ⁻¹	4.21×10^{-6}	3.76×10^{-6}
Limit of quantification / mol L ⁻¹	1.39×10^{-5}	1.24×10^{-5}
Intercept	-0.0234	0.0032
Slope	1637.93	1554.94
Regression coefficient (R)	0.9994	0.9999

Table 4. Determination of tetracycline and doxycycline in commercial pharmaceutical formulations

Sample	Label to content ^a	Proposed Method	Official Method ²²	<i>t</i> value (2.78) ^c	<i>F</i> value (19.00) ^c
		Found ^b	Found ^b		
Tetracycline					
A	500	505.2 ± 1.4	505.7 ± 0.6	0.54	5.44
B	500	502.3 ± 0.4	501.1 ± 0.7	2.52	3.06
Doxycycline					
A	100	105.9 ± 0.8	104.7 ± 0.5	2.19	2.56
B	100	105.2 ± 0.7	103.7 ± 0.7	2.55	1.00
C	100	103.4 ± 0.9	102.2 ± 0.4	2.08	4.75

^amg tablet⁻¹ or mg capsule⁻¹; ^baverage ± standard deviation (S.D) of three independent analysis;

^ctheoretical values of *t* and *F* at 95% confidence level

Despite the high frequency analytical related to the FI spectrophotometric method reported by Sultan *et al.*,⁴⁸ the same presents disadvantages with respect to the preparation of the sample, which needs to heating until 20 min to 60 °C. The FI spectrophotometric method described by Karlicek and Solich³² is sensible, however, all antibiotics (tetracycline, doxycycline, rolitetracycline and oxytetracycline) are determinate in the same wavelength and it is not possible differentiate the sample. In the FI spectrophotometric method proposed by Al-Tamrah and Alwarthan⁴⁹ the measures are made in the region near to the UV and are subject the interferences of others composed used in pharmaceutical formulations.

Compared with the abovementioned FI spectrophotometric methods for determination of tetracyclines, the proposed method present a good analytical frequency, the preparation of standard and sample are simple and shows sufficient sensitivity for the determination of tetracyclines in pharmaceutical formulations.

CONCLUSION

The proposed spectrophotometric flow injection procedure for tetracycline and doxycycline determination is simple, inexpensive, allows rapid determination at low costs, and shows adequate selectivity, low limit of detection and very good precision and accuracy. The recommended procedure has been successfully applied to the determination of the two drugs in commercial pharmaceutical formulations. This method is thus suitable for routine analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Figures identified like S to the long of the text are available freely in <http://quimicanova.sbg.org.br>, in the form of file PDF.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank FAPESP, CNPq and CAPES Foundations (Brazil) for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- Masawat, P.; Slater, J. M.; *Sens. Actuators B* **2007**, *124*, 127.
- Wen, Y.; Wang, Y.; Feng, Y.; *Talanta* **2006**, *70*, 153.
- Spisso, B. F.; Jesus, A. L. O.; Araújo Junior, M. A. G.; Monteiro, M. A.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2007**, *581*, 108.
- Treetepvijit, S.; Preechaworapun, A.; Praphairaksit, N.; Chuanuwatanakul, S.; Einaga, Y.; Chailapakul, O.; *Talanta* **2006**, *68*, 1329.
- Emara, K. M.; Askal, H. F.; Saleh, G. A.; *Talanta* **1991**, *38*, 1219.
- Khalec, M. M. A.; Mahrous, M. S.; *Talanta* **1983**, *30*, 792.
- Sultan, S. M.; *Analyst* **1986**, *111*, 97.
- Mahrous M. S.; Khalec, M. M. A.; *Talanta* **1984**, *31*, 289.
- Mamani, M. V.; Farfán, J. A.; Reyes, F. G. R. Rath, S.; *Talanta* **2006**, *70*, 236.
- Han, H.; He, Z.; Zeng, Y.; *Anal. Sci.* **1999**, *15*, 467.
- Shtykov, S. N.; Smirnova, T. D.; Bylinkin, Y. G.; Zhemerichkin, D. A.; *J. Anal. Chem.* **2005**, *60*, 24.
- Salinas, F.; De La Pena, A. M.; Meras, I. D.; *Anal. Lett.* **1990**, *23*, 863.
- Chang, W. B.; Zhao, Y. B.; Li, Y. X.; Hu, L. Y.; *Analyst* **1992**, *117*, 1377.
- Szlyk, E.; Kowalczyk-Marzec, A.; Koter, I.; *Chem. Anal.* **2007**, *52*, 605. (CA 146:487994)
- Kazemifard, A. G.; Moore, D. E.; *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **1997**, *16*, 689.
- Pena, A.; Carmona, A.; Barbosa, A.; Lino, C.; Silveira, I.; Castillo, B.; *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **1998**, *18*, 839.
- Iwaki, K.; Okumura, N.; Yamazaki, M.; *J. Chromatogr., A* **1992**, *623*, 153.
- Tsuji, K.; Robertson, J. H.; *J. Pharm. Sci.* **1976**, *65*, 400.
- Oka, H.; Uno, K.; Harada, K. I.; Masaka, K.; Suzuki, M.; *J. Chromatogr., A* **1984**, *298*, 435.
- Hasan, T.; Cooperman, B. S.; *J. Chromatogr., A* **1985**, *321*, 462.
- Ragazzi, E.; Veronese, G.; *J. Chromatogr., A* **1977**, *134*, 223.
- United States Pharmacopeia*, 26th ed., Rockville, 2003.
- British Pharmacopoeia*, HM Stationery Office: London, 1988.
- Zheng, X.; Mei, Y.; Zhang, Z.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2001**, *440*, 143.
- Townshend, A.; Ruengsitagoon, W.; Thongpoon, C.; Liawruangrath, S.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2005**, *541*, 105.
- Zhang, X. R.; Baeyens, W. R. G.; VanDen Borre, A.; VanDer Weken, G.; Calokerinos, A. C.; Schulman, S. G.; *Analyst* **1995**, *120*, 463.
- Havatzis, S. A.; Timotheau-Potamia, M. M.; Calokerinos, A. C.; *Analyst* **1993**, *118*, 633.
- Wangfuengkanagul, N.; Siangproh, W.; Chailapakul, O.; *Talanta* **2004**, *64*, 1183.
- Palaharn, S.; Charoenraks, T.; Wangfuengkanagul, N.; Grudpan, K.; Chailapakul, O.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2003**, *499*, 191.
- Huamin, J.; Erkang, W.; *Analyst* **1988**, *113*, 1541.
- Liawruangrath, S.; Liawruangrath, B.; Watanesk, S.; Ruengsitagoon, W.; *Anal. Sci.* **2006**, *22*, 15.
- Karlicek, R.; Solich, P.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1994**, *285*, 9.
- Medina, A. R.; Marín, M. G. G.; Cordova, M. L. F.; Díaz, A. M.; *Microchem. J.* **2000**, *65*, 325.
- Alwarthan, A. A.; Al-Tamrah, S. A.; Sultan, S. M.; *Analyst* **1991**, *116*, 183.
- Ruzika, J.; Hansen, H.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1975**, *78*, 145.

36. Stewart, K. K.; Beecher, G. R.; Hare, P. E.; *Anal. Biochem.* **1976**, *70*, 167.
37. Trojanowicz, M.; *Flow injection analysis: Instrumental and application*, World Scientific: New York, 2000.
38. Tzanavaras, P. D.; Themelis, D. G.; *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2007**, *588*, 1.
39. Montgomery, D. C.; *Design and Analysis of Experiment*, 2nd ed., Wiley: New York, 1984.
40. Basavaiah, K.; Prameela, H. C.; *Il Farmaco* **2003**, *58*, 527.
41. Trieff, N. M.; Ramanujam, V. M. S.; Forti, G. C.; *Talanta* **1977**, *24*, 188.
42. Issa, A. S.; Beltagy, Y. A.; Mahrous, M. S.; *Talanta* **1978**, *25*, 710.
43. Shivananda, K. N.; Jagadeesh, R. V.; Puttaswamy; Mahendra, K. N.; *J. Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical* **2006**, *255*, 159.
44. Sastry, C. S. P.; Srinivas, K. R.; Prasad, K. M. M. K.; *Talanta* **1996**, *43*, 1625.
45. International Conference on Harmonization ICH-Q2A, Guideline for Industry: *Text on Validation of Analytical procedures*, 1995.
46. Skoog, D. A.; Holler, F. J.; Nieman, T. A.; *Principles of Instrumental Analysis*, 5th ed., Harcourt Brace Company: Philadelphia, 1998.
47. Miller, J. C.; Miller, J. N.; *Statistics for Analytical Chemistry*, 2nd ed., Ellis Horwood Limited: London, 1992.
48. Sultan, S. M.; Suliman, F. E. O.; Duffuaa, S. O.; Abu-Abdoun, I. I.; *Analyst* **1992**, *117*, 1179.
49. Al-Tamrah, S. A.; Alwarthan, A. A.; *Anal. Lett.* **1992**, *25*, 1865.