NITROUS OXIDE EMISSIONS FROM AN INTERMITTENT AERATION ACTIVATED SLUDGE SYSTEM OF AN URBAN WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

William Z. de Mello*, Renato P. Ribeiro e Ariane C. Brotto

Departamento de Geoquímica, Instituto de Química, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Outeiro São João Batista, s/n, 24020-141 Niterói – RJ, Brasil

Débora C. Kligerman, Andrezza de S. Piccoli e Jaime L. M. Oliveira

Departamento de Saneamento e Saúde Ambiental, Escola Nacional de Saúde Pública, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Rua Leopoldo Bulhões, 1480, 21041-210 Rio de Janeiro – RJ, Brasil

Recebido em 26/1/12; aceito em 13/7/12; publicado na web em 29/11/12

This study investigated the emission of N_2O during the sequential aerated (60-min) and non-aerated (30-min) stages of an intermittent aeration cycle in an activated sludge wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). N_2O emission occurred during both stages; however, emission was much higher during aeration. Air stripping is the major factor controlling transfer of N_2O from the sewage to the atmosphere. The N_2O emissions exclusively from the aeration tank represented 0.10% of the influent total nitrogen load and the per capita emission factor was almost 3 times higher than that suggested by the IPCC for inventories of N_2O emission from WWTPs.

Keywords: nitrous oxide; wastewater treatment; intermittent aeration.

INTRODUCTION

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is an important greenhouse gas (GHG) and a stratospheric ozone (O₃)-depleting substance (ODS). Nitrous oxide has a global warming potential 310 and 15 times higher than those of carbon dioxide and methane, respectively, and is expected to become the dominant ODS by the end of the 21^{st} century.¹ Atmospheric N₂O concentration has increased by almost 20% since the middle of the 18^{th} century and continues to rise at a rate of approximately 0.25% yr¹.^{2,3}

Nitrous oxide is naturally produced by a variety of aerobic and anaerobic microbial processes in pristine aquatic and terrestrial environments. However, human activities are increasingly altering the global biogeochemical cycle of nitrogen (N) and consequently increasing N₂O emissions. Human-related sources of N₂O include biological wastewater treatment systems, where the microbial processes responsible for its production are basically the same as those occurring in natural environments. Global N2O emission from domestic wastewater treatment is believed to represent a small fraction of overall N₂O sources, especially if the emission factor (3.2 g N₂O person⁻¹ yr¹), recommended by the IPCC⁴ for countries with predominantly advanced centralized wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) with controlled nitrification and denitrification steps, is applied to N2O emission inventories. This emission factor (EF) was determined by Czepiel et al.5 at a WWTP in Northeastern United States. The 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National GHG Inventories points out that there is no other country-specific EF available. It is noteworthy to point out that studies on full-scale WWTPs remain limited and the variability between reported EFs is considerable.6-10

There is also a need for better understanding of the major processes controlling N_2O production and emission from full-scale WWTPs. Kampschreur *et al.*⁷ provided a literature review on major biological processes and key operating parameters controlling N_2O emissions from WWTPs. In full-scale WWTPs, N_2O is emitted predominantly from activated sludge units.^{5,7,10,11} In these units, it has been proposed that N_2O is produced mostly as a result of nitrite (NO_2) reduction

by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB), i.e. a process called nitrifier denitrification.¹²⁻¹⁶ Laboratory and full-scale studies have suggested that the main operating conditions leading to elevated N₂O production are: dissolved oxygen concentration ≤ 1 mg O₂ L⁻¹; elevated nitrite concentrations, and low chemical oxygen demand (COD) to nitrogen ratio.^{8,14,15,17-19}

In our previous study,¹¹ we focused on estimating N_2O emissions from an activated sludge WWTP with prolonged aeration process and found that approximately 90% of N_2O emissions derived from the aeration tank, which was consistent with the findings of Czepiel *et al.*⁵ The aim of the present study was to investigate N_2O emission and production during both aerated and non-aerated sequential stages in the intermittent aeration process of an activated sludge WWTP. Hitherto, as far as we know, there is only one study available on N_2O emissions from full-scale domestic wastewater treatment with the intermittent aeration process.²⁰ However, three other studies on N_2O emissions from intermittent aeration processes have been conducted, two on a lab-scale with domestic wastewater and one full-scale study of swine wastewater.^{17,18,21}

EXPERIMENTAL

Location and characteristics of the WWTP

The study was carried out from 22 to 27 August 2009 at a WWTP located within a municipality in the highlands of Rio de Janeiro state. The city is at an altitude of approximately 600 m above sea level. The local climate is classified as humid subtropical (Köppen Cwa). The annual average precipitation and temperature are 1390 mm and 19.5 °C, with monthly averages ranging from 31 mm (July) and 16.3 °C (July) to 258 mm (December) and 22.6 °C (February).²² The reduced chance of rainfall in winter was decisive in the choice of this period to conduct studies involving time-sequential sampling methods, as heavy rains could have affected the results due to dilution.

The studied WWTP serves a population of about 2000 people, treats approximately 600 m³ day⁻¹ of exclusively domestic wastewater, and utilizes an activated sludge with intermittent aeration process. The aeration tank in which the study was conducted had an internal

surface area of 60 m² and a volume of 120 m³. The hydraulic and sludge retention times were 4.8 h and 13 days, respectively. The tank is rectangular and covered on the top side, having three openings (0.64 m² each) through which measurements and sampling was carried out. For practical purposes, we named these openings as A, B and C (Figure 1S, supplementary material). According to the reactor design, the sewage flows from opening A towards C. The intermittent aeration cycle consists of 60 min aerated and 30 min non-aerated stages, with air injected at a flow rate of 640 m³ h⁻¹ by an air distribution system composed of 150 rubber membrane diffusers positioned at the bottom of the tank.

Sampling and analysis

Nitrous oxide emissions were measured consecutively during the aerated and non-aerated stages of one complete intermittent aeration cycle of 90 min duration for 6 consecutive days. During the aerated stage, sampling and measurements were performed at openings A and B, and in the absence of aeration, at openings A, B and C simultaneously. For each of these stages, a specific sampling technique for N_2O flux determination was employed.

During aeration, sampling and measurements were taken alternately at openings A and B, consequently initiation began 5 or 10 min after the start of aeration. At this stage, insufficient manpower prevented measurements from being taken at opening C since two people were required for the N2O sampling procedure. The technique applied for determining N2O emissions during aeration, described in-detail by Brotto et al.,11 consists of capturing air bubbles stripped from the liquid during aeration using an upturned 30-cm diameter plastic funnel which makes the rising bubbles converge toward its narrower end (funnel headspace) from which air samples are withdrawn for further analysis.11 During sampling, one person holds the funnel while the other takes the sample. Before sample removal, the funnel was maintained almost entirely submerged for about 3 min in order to stabilize the N₂O concentration (from bubble bursts) inside the funnel headspace. This procedure allowed the remaining ambient air to be completely removed from the funnel headspace avoiding sample dilution. The N₂O emission rate (ER) was calculated as follows:

$$ER = Q \times \Delta C \tag{1}$$

where Q is the flow rate of air injected into the tank during the aeration stage (640 m³ h⁻¹) and ΔC is the N₂O concentration in the air stripped from the liquid minus the ambient air N₂O concentration (*ca.* 325 ppb). The N₂O emission flux (N₂O mass per unit area per unit time) during the aerated stage was calculated by dividing the N₂O emission rate by the surface area of the aeration tank.

During the 30-min non-aerated stage, closed PVC chambers (24 cm diameter and 10-cm height) were employed to directly measure the N₂O emission fluxes at the liquid-air interface.¹¹ For flotation, styrofoam plates were set around the chambers, which were settled on the liquid surface approximately 2 min after aeration had ceased. Samples were withdrawn from chambers at 5-min intervals for 20 min by means of 20-mL syringes. The N₂O emission flux (F) was calculated as follows:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{dC/dt}\Big|_{t=0} \tag{2}$$

where h is the inner chamber height above the liquid surface and $dC/dt|_{t=0}$ is the N₂O concentration change as a function of time at t = 0. In practice, the flux is calculated at the initial linear segment of the curve.

Nitrous oxide concentration in the liquid was determined at

opening B only, by the headspace gas method. First, a 30-mL wastewater sample was collected using a 60-mL syringe followed by an equal volume of ambient air. Second, the syringe was manually shaken 200 times (~1 min) and the headspace carefully transferred to a dry 20-mL syringe.^{5,11,23} Finally, after analysis, N₂O concentration (C; in nmol L⁻¹) in the liquid was calculated as follows:

$$\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{K}_0 \times \mathbf{C}_{hs}) + [(\mathbf{P}/\mathbf{RT}) \times (\mathbf{C}_{hs} - \mathbf{C}_{air})]$$
(3)

where C_{hs} and C_{air} are the N₂O concentrations (ppb; parts per billion by volume) in the syringe headspace (after shaking) and the ambient air N₂O (*ca.* 325 ppb), K₀ is the N₂O solubility coefficient,²⁴ P the ambient air pressure, R the gas constant (0.082 L atm K⁻¹ mol⁻¹), and T the liquid temperature.

All ambient air and headspace samples were analyzed for N_2O within 8 h of collection on a Shimadzu GC-17A gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a ⁶³Ni electron capture detector and a packed Porapak-Q column. The GC was temporarily installed at a site near the investigated WWTP. Argon with 5% methane was used as a carrier gas at a 40 mL min⁻¹ flow rate. Calibration standards (356 and 840 ppb) were acquired from White Martins. Analytical precision was $\pm 1\%$ and the quantification limit for the upturned funnel and the floating chamber technique were 4×10^{-3} g N_2O h⁻¹ (Equation 1) and 1.4 µg N_2O m⁻² h⁻¹ (Equation 2), respectively.

In situ measurements of dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and temperature were made 20-30 cm below the liquid surface using a Hanna Instruments HI9828 multiparameter portable meter, calibrated daily. Liquid samples for determination of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and nitrate (NO_3^-) were collected, filtered through 0.22-µm cellulose acetate membrane filters, and stored frozen until later analyses. Ammonium was determined spectrophotometrically by the indophenol blue method (absorbance measured at 640 nm). Nitrite was determined spectrophotometrically through formation of a reddish purple azo dye by coupling diazotized sulfanilic acid with NED dihydrochloride.²⁵ Nitrate was determined after reduction (in Cd-Cu column) to NO_2^- . The detection limits for ammonium, nitrite, and nitrate were 0.02, 0.001, and 0.01 mg N L⁻¹, respectively. The COD was determined using the colorimetric method following oxidation by digestion with an acid-dichromate solution.²⁵

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Wastewater characteristics

The COD and dissolved inorganic N (DIN) concentrations measured during the study period in the WWTP influent and effluent are compiled in Table 1. The influent average COD and DIN concentrations were 217 mg L⁻¹ and 28 mg N L⁻¹. These parameters characterize the sewage as a weak-strength wastewater with respect

Table 1. Chemical oxygen demand (mg L^{-1}) and concentrations of inorganic nitrogen compounds (mg N L^{-1}) in the wastewater treatment plant influent and effluent

	Influent	Effluent
COD	217 ± 67	35 ± 11
$\mathrm{NH_4^+}$	27.5 ± 7.11	13.4 ± 3.07
NO_2^-	0.03 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.29
NO ₃ -	0.13 ± 0.04	0.89 ± 1.20
Total INC	28 ± 7.1	15 ± 2.2

COD = Chemical oxygen demand; INC = inorganic nitrogen compounds; Total INC: $NH_4^+ + NO_2^- + NO_3^-$. to the former and as a medium-strength wastewater with respect to the latter.²⁶ The average COD removal efficiency was $82 \pm 8.7\%$, with a maximum removal efficiency of 90-91%. During the study period the liquid temperature and pH ranged from 19 to 20 °C and 6.3 to 6.9, respectively.

Nitrous oxide emission

Nitrous oxide emissions were detected during both the 60-min aerated and 30-min non-aerated stages of the intermittent aeration cycle. Emissions were much higher during the aerated stage than the non-aerated stage. Figure 1 provides a compilation of all N₂O flux measurements performed during the 6-day study period and shows the evolution of N₂O emissions from the liquid during both stages. N₂O emissions increased exponentially throughout the aerated stage and, in some cases, stabilized in the final 20-30 min of the aeration process. These results reveal that during aeration air stripping is the major process controlling N₂O transfer from the liquid to the atmosphere. In the absence of aeration, combined molecular diffusion and remaining turbulence from the preceding aeration, are the major processes controlling N₂O transfer.



Figure 1. Time variability of nitrous oxide emissions measured, from 22 to 27 August 2009, during the sequential 60-min aerated and 30-min non-aerated stages

The N₂O fluxes for the entire 60-min aerated stage of each series of measurements were estimated by combining the data for the six 10-min time interval flux measurements shown in Figure 2. These N₂O fluxes, together with fluxes measured directly using the chambers during the non-aerated stage, are all shown in Table 2. The mean (and median) N₂O fluxes for the aerated and non-aerated stages were 49.8 (46.0) mg N₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ (n = 11) and 0.37 (0.37) mg N₂O m⁻² h⁻¹ (n = 13), respectively. Three out of the total 27

measurements were rejected due to error in sampling or analysis. Given that the aerated and non-aerated stages run for 16 and 8 h day⁻¹, respectively, the estimated time-weighted daily emission rates (flux × tank area) for the aerated and non-aerated stages are 47.8 and 0.18 g N₂O day⁻¹, respectively, giving a total of 48.0 g N₂O day⁻¹ (or 14.9 kg CO₂-eq day⁻¹ as CO₂ equivalent). Accordingly, 99.6% of the daily N₂O emissions from the aeration tank occurred during the aerated stage.



Figure 2. Time variability of dissolved nitrous oxide concentrations measured during the 60-min aerated stage (symbols indicating the sampling date are in conformity to those shown in Figure 1)

 N_2O emission fluxes varied among openings with variations less pronounced during aeration (Table 2). For both stages, the N_2O emissions decreased mostly from opening A towards C, following the sewage flow through the aeration tank. Therefore, this variation can be attributed to a decrease in substrate (e.g. NH_4^+ and NO_2^-) concentrations as the wastewater moves through the tank. Homogenization caused by turbulence during aeration explains the lower variation in N_2O emissions during this stage.

Despite the flux measurements, which were always performed at around the same time of day, the daily variation in N_2O emissions (almost two orders of magnitude) is remarkable and was more pronounced during the aerated stage (Table 2). The highest emission values were found in the last two sampling days (i.e., 26 and 27 August) when emissions during aeration were, on average, 6 and 13 times higher, respectively, than those measured on 25 August. On the morning of 26, before flux measurements started, for operational reasons approximately 1/3 of the aeration tank volume was drained and immediately replaced by raw sewage. Therefore, the sudden fresh supply of reduced N compounds, i.e., predominantly NH_4^+ and organic-N forms, is the most plausible explanation for the elevated N_2O emissions observed on 26 and 27 August.

Table 2. Nitrous oxide emission fluxes (mg N₂O m² h⁻¹) from liquid during one cycle of the intermittent aeration system

	22/08/09	23/08/09	24/08/09	25/08/09	26/08/09	27/08/09
Aerated stage (60 min)						
Opening A	2.41	88.2	n.d.	10.6	60.3	118
Opening B	2.19	46.0	29.3	7.32	52.6	131
Non-aerated stage (30 min)						
Opening A	0.30	1.09	n.d.	0.50	0.66	n.d.
Opening B	0.03	0.45	0.13	0.13	0.37	n.d.
Opening C	n.d.	0.61	0.09	0.03	0.38	n.d.

n.d. = Not determined.

Nitrous oxide production

Akin to emissions, dissolved N_2O concentrations in the liquid increased gradually during aeration and varied between sampling days (Figure 2). During the second half of the aerated stage, N_2O accumulation appears to converge towards an equilibrium condition between production and evasion to the atmosphere. The observed accumulation of N_2O in the liquid, while partially being transferred to the atmosphere by air stripping, denotes its production by microbiological processes throughout the aerated stage.

The N₂O and dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were simultaneously determined in the liquid during the aerated and non-aerated stages sequentially on 25 and 26 August only. Figure 3 shows the evolution of N₂O and DO concentrations throughout both stages. Both concentrations increased throughout the aerated stage until aeration ceased. Thereafter, DO concentrations fell to 0 mg L⁻¹ within 10 min while N₂O concentrations continued to rise, albeit inconsistently, since there were clear dips in dissolved N₂O concentrations. This behavior suggests a possible shift of processes involving production or even consumption of N₂O during the non-aerated stage. Further investigations are needed to better understand the factors responsible for the production and consumption of N₂O during this stage.

The N₂O emission rate, which corresponds to the fraction of N₂O produced by microbiological processes in the sewage and thereby released into the atmosphere, and the dissolved N₂O accumulation rate, which corresponds to the fraction built up in the sewage over the same time interval, for both aerated and non-aerated stages are shown in Table 3. The sum represents the total net N₂O production rate in the sewage. The results suggest that 50-75% of the total net production of N₂O was released into the atmosphere during aeration and, despite the limited data, that less than 1% was released in the absence of aeration. It is also likely that part of the dissolved N₂O accumulated in the sewage during this stage is transferred to the atmosphere by air stripping as soon as aeration is resumed.

Nitrous oxide conversion ratio and emission factors

Ammonium and organic N are the predominant forms of N in domestic wastewater. In this work, no measurement of total N (TN) concentration in the influent wastewater was made. Therefore, based on the population served by the WWTP (2000 people), the average wastewater inflow rate (600 m³ day⁻¹), and the assumption of a per capita wastewater total N load of 15 g N person⁻¹ day⁻¹,²⁷ we estimated a TN concentration of 50 mg N L⁻¹. This value seems reasonable considering that the average total inorganic N concentration in the wastewater influent was 28 mg N L⁻¹ (Table 1) and that approximately 50-60% of TN in domestic wastewater is formed by inorganic N.^{17,26,28} Accordingly, it is likely that the WWTP treats approximately



Figure 3. Time variability of dissolved nitrous oxide (\blacksquare) and oxygen (\bigcirc) concentrations measured during the sequential 60-min aerated and 30-min non-aerated stages on 25 and 26 August 2009

30 kg N day $^{-1}$ of which 0.10% is converted to N_2O in its intermittent aeration-type activated sludge system.

The N₂O conversion ratio found in this study seems highly consistent with the figures reported by Kimochi *et al.*²⁰ who found that the higher the N₂O conversion ratio the longer the aerated/non-aerated time period of the intermittent aeration cycle. Their experiments consisted of 30 min/30 min, 30 min/60 min and 30 min/90 min aeration/non-aeration periods which yielded N₂O conversion ratios of 0.08, 0.05 and 0.01%, respectively. Our results, based on a 60 min/30 min period, were broadly consistent with those reported by Kimochi *et al.*²⁰

In a previous study by our group carried out in an activated sludge

Table 3. Net nitrous oxide production rate (g N_2O h⁻¹) in the liquid during one cycle of the intermittent aeration system

-			-	-		
	22/08/09	23/08/09	24/08/09	25/08/09	26/08/09	27/08/09
Aerated stage (60 min)						
Emitted	0.13	2.76	1.76	0.44	3.16	7.86
Non-emitted	n.d.	1.86	1.66	0.32	1.55	2.69
Total	-	4.62	3.42	0.76	4.71	10.6
Non-aerated stage (30 min)						
Emitted	< 0.01	0.03	< 0.01	0.01	0.02	n.d.
Non-emitted	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	1.43	0.75	n.d.
Total	-	-	-	1.44	0.77	-

n.d. = Not determined.

WWTP with a prolonged aeration process, we found a N₂O emission factor of 0.14%.¹¹ Our results are consistent with those reported by Ahn *et al.*¹⁰ from twelve WWTPs operated with biological nitrogen removal (BNR) and non-BNR systems, which ranged from 0.01 to 1.8%. On the other hand, in a review of N₂O emissions from various WWTPs, Kampschreur *et al.*⁷ reported an upper limit which as approximately two orders of magnitude higher (0-14.6%) than our mean values. Similarly, in Australia, a survey including seven full-scale biological nutrient removal wastewater systems provided N₂O conversion ratios ranging from 0.6 to 25.3%.⁸

Based on the population served, we estimated a daily per capita N_2O emission (from the aeration tank only) in the order of 2.4×10^{-2} g N_2O person⁻¹ day⁻¹, higher than the emission factor (0.9×10^{-2} g N_2O person⁻¹ day⁻¹ or 3.2 g N_2O person⁻¹ yr⁻¹) recommended by the IPCC⁴ for countries with predominantly advanced centralized WWTPs involving controlled nitrification and denitrification steps. The flow-based emission factor was estimated as 8.0×10^{-5} g N_2O L(wastewater)⁻¹. However, it is noteworthy that the activated sludge system studied was not configured to achieve reliable biological nitrogen removal and that the efficiency of nitrogen removal was not evaluated.

CONCLUSIONS

In an intermittent aeration system of an urban WWTP operated with an aerated/non-aerated cycle of 60/30 min, N2O was produced and emitted into the atmosphere during both the aerated and non-aerated stages. Emissions were much higher during aeration and air stripping was the major process controlling N₂O transfer from the sewage to the atmosphere. The fresh supply of reduced N compounds (NH₄⁺ and organic-N forms) significantly stimulated emissions of N₂O. Approximately 0.10% of the influent total N load was converted and emitted as N₂O into the atmosphere. The per capita emission factor (for the aeration tank only) was almost 3 times higher than that suggested by the IPCC (2006) applicable to N₂O emission inventories for countries with predominantly advanced centralized WWTPs involving controlled nitrification and denitrification phases. In WWTPs with activated sludge systems, aeration should effectively reduce the organic load of sewage treated, but in parallel must also minimize N₂O emissions.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Available at http://quimicanova.sbq.org.br, in the form of a PDF file with free access. Figure 1S. Covered aeration tank of wastewater treatment plant and openings (A, B and C) through which all measurements were made.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by CNPq and FAPERJ under grants 0477 (*Programa Estratégico de Pesquisa em Saúde* - Fiocruz/CNPq) and E-26/112.140/2008, respectively. The CAPES provided the Masters Scholarship to A. de S. Piccoli, and the CNPq provided the PQ fellowship to W. Z. de Mello (301421-2009/9) and IC fellowship (PIBIC/Fiocruz) to R. P. Ribeiro.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ravishankara, A. R.; Daniel, J. S.; Portman, R. W.; *Science* 2009, *326*, 123.
- Artuso, F.; Chamard, P.; Chiavarini, S.; di Sarra, A.; Meloni, D.; Piacentino, S.; Sferlazzo, M. D.; *Atmos. Environ.* 2010, 44, 4944.
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis - Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge, 2007.
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Waste - Vol. 5, Kanagawa, 2006.
- 5. Czepiel, P.; Crill, P.; Harriss, R.; Environ. Sci. Technol. 1995, 29, 2352.
- 6. Barton, P. K.; Atwater, J. W.; J. Environ. Eng. 2002, 128, 137.
- Kampschreur, M. J.; Temmink, H.; Kleerebezem, R.; Jetten, M. S. M.; van Loosdrecht, M. C. M.; *Water Res.* 2009, 43, 4093.
- 8. Foley, J.; de Haas, D.; Yuan, Z.; Lant, P.; Water Res. 2010, 44, 831.
- Bhunia, P.; Yan, S.; LeBlanc, R. J.; Tyagi, R. D.; Surampalli, R. Y.; Zhang, T. C.; J. Hazard. Toxic Radioact. Waste 2010, 14, 158.
- Ahn, J. O.; Kim, S.; Park, H.; Rahm, B.; Pagilla, K.; Chandran, K.; *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 4505.
- Brotto, A. C.; Kligerman, D. C.; Piccoli, A. S.; de Mello, W. Z.; *Quim. Nova* 2010, *33*, 618.
- 12. Gejlsbjerg, B.; Frette, L.; Westermann, P.; Water Res. 1998, 32, 2113.
- Wrage, N.; Velthof, G. L.; van Beusichem, M.L.; Oenema, O.; *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2001, *33*, 1723.
- Tallec, G.; Garnier, J.; Billen, G.; Gousailles, M.; Water Res. 2006, 40, 2972.
- Kampschreur, M. J.; van der Star, W. R. L.; Wielders, H. A.; Mulder, J. W.; Jetten, M. S. M.; van Loosdrecht, M. C. M.; *Water Res.* 2008, 42, 812.
- Kim, S. -W.; Miyahara. M.; Fushinobu, S.; Wakagi, T.; Shoun, H.; Bioresour. Technol. 2010, 101, 3958.
- Park, K. Y.; Inamori, Y.; Mizuochi, M.; Ahn, K. H.; J. Biosci. Bioeng. 2000, 90, 247.
- 18. Itokawa, H.; Hanaki, K.; Matsuo, T.; Water Res. 2001, 35, 657.
- Tallec, G.; Garnier, J.; Billen, G.; Gousailles, M.; *Bioresour. Technol.* 2008, 99, 2200.
- Kimochi, Y.; Inamori, Y.; Mizuochi, M.; Xu, K.-Q.; Matsumura, M.; J. Fermentation Bioeng. 1998, 86, 202.
- 21. Osada, T.; Kuroda, K.; Yonaga, M.; Water Res. 1995, 29, 1607.
- 22. FIDERJ Fundação Instituto de Desenvolvimento Econômico e Social do Rio de Janeiro; *Indicadores Climatológicos*, Governadoria do Estado do Rio de Janeiro/Secretaria de Planejamento e Coordenação Geral, Rio de Janeiro, 1978.
- 23. Guimarães, G. P.; de Mello, W. Z.; Quim. Nova 2008, 31, 1613.
- 24. Weiss, R. F.; Price, B. A.; Mar. Chem. 1980, 8, 347.
- Eaton, A. D.; Clesceri, L. S.; Greenberg, A. E.; Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th ed.; American Public Health Association: Washington, 1995.
- Jordão, E. P.; Pessôa, C. A.; *Tratamento de Esgotos Domésticos*, SEGRAC: Rio de Janeiro, 2005.
- Tundisi, J. G.; *Água no Século XXI: Enfrentando a Escassez*, Ed. Rima: São Carlos, 2005.
- von Sperling, M.; *Introdução à Qualidade das Águas e ao Tratamento de Esgoto*, Depto. de Engenharia Sanitária e Ambiental, UFMG: Belo Horizonte, 2005, vol. 1.